

*Reorganization, Would Most Likely Vote In Favor To ‘Accept’  
The Debtor(s) First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization  
‘With At Least Two- Thirds In Amount & More Than One-Half  
In Number’. With This Being Understood By Vincent Rhynes I  
Voted To ‘Reject The Plan’ With Respect To (B) Above....*

*(D). Now, It Is Clear... That The Debtor(s)First Amended Joint  
Plan Of Reorganization Was The “Express Plan” & The  
Debtor(s) Post Confirmation, Propose Modified Plan Of  
Reorganization Is The “Implied Plan.”*

*(E). With Respect To The Debtor(s) Propose Modified Plan  
Of Reorganization The Debtor(s), The Proponents Have  
Set A ’New Voting Deadline For 07-15-2009 .*

*NOTE: In Real Property Law If The Trustee Postpone  
The Trustee Sale, The Original 5day Reinstatelement  
Period Is Also Extended When The Trustee Sets  
A New Trustee Sale Date.*

*(F). Now Comes Vincent E. Rhynes With Respect, An Ask That The  
Bankruptcy Court Grant The ‘Chose In Action’ For Good  
Cause With Respect (B) (D) & (E)Above. Also Request That  
Bankruptcy Court Take Special Note To Vincent E. Rhynes Vote  
With Respect To The Ballot Labeled As Exhibit A For The  
Purpose Of Section 1127(d) Of The Bankruptcy Code, As It  
Relates To The Debtor(s), The Proponents Propose Modified Plan  
Of Reorganization.*

*(G). The Objections Are “Only” At Consent With Respect To  
Subordination & Less Favorable Treatment.(consent Denied).*

*-RELIEF REQUESTED-  
That The “Chose In Action” Be Granted With Respect To*